



TITLE:

Non-Hermitian Extension of Uncertainty Relation (Mathematics for Uncertainty and Fuzziness)

AUTHOR(S):

柳, 研二郎

CITATION:

柳, 研二郎. Non-Hermitian Extension of Uncertainty Relation (Mathematics for Uncertainty and Fuzziness). 数理解析研究所講究録 2014, 1906: 59-68: KJ00009393999.

ISSUE DATE:

2014-07

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/223132>

RIGHT:

Non-Hermitian Extension of Uncertainty Relation

Kenjiro Yanagi

Yamaguchi University

1 Introduction

Wigner-Yanase skew information

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\rho}(H) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \left[(i [\rho^{1/2}, H])^2 \right] \\ &= \text{Tr}[\rho H^2] - \text{Tr}[\rho^{1/2} H \rho^{1/2} H] \end{aligned}$$

was defined in [11]. This quantity can be considered as a kind of the degree for non-commutativity between a quantum state ρ and an observable H . Here we denote the commutator by $[X, Y] = XY - YX$. This quantity was generalized by Dyson

$$\begin{aligned} I_{\rho, \alpha}(H) &= \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr}[(i[\rho^{\alpha}, H])(i[\rho^{1-\alpha}, H])] \\ &= \text{Tr}[\rho H^2] - \text{Tr}[\rho^{\alpha} H \rho^{1-\alpha} H], \alpha \in [0, 1] \end{aligned}$$

which is known as the Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information. Recently it is shown that these skew informations are connected to special choices of quantum Fisher information in [3]. The family of all quantum Fisher informations is parametrized by a certain class of operator monotone functions \mathcal{F}_{op} which were justified in [9]. The Wigner-Yanase skew information and Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information are given by the following operator monotone functions

$$f_{WY}(x) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{x} + 1}{2} \right)^2,$$

$$f_{WYD}(x) = \alpha(1 - \alpha) \frac{(x - 1)^2}{(x^{\alpha} - 1)(x^{1-\alpha} - 1)}, \alpha \in (0, 1),$$

respectively. In particular the operator monotonicity of the function f_{WYD} was proved in [10]. On the other hand the uncertainty relation related to Wigner-Yanase skew information was given by Luo [8] and the uncertainty relation related to Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information was given by Yanagi [12], respectively. Also these

uncertainty relations were generalized to the uncertainty relations related to quantum Fisher informations by using (generalized) metric adjusted skew information or correlation measure in [13, 14, 15]. In this paper we don't assume that observables are hermitian. Then we give the corresponding uncertainty relations by using generalized quasi-metric adjusted skew informations and generalized quasi-adjusted correlation measures. In particular we show how is the corresponding variance represented.

2 Operator Monotone Functions

Let $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ (resp. $M_{n,sa}(\mathbb{C})$) be the set of all $n \times n$ complex matrices (resp. all $n \times n$ self-adjoint matrices), endowed with the Hilbert-Schmidt scalar product $\langle A, B \rangle = \text{Tr}(A^*B)$. Let $M_{n,+}(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of strictly positive elements of $M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $M_{n,+,1}(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of strictly positive density matrices, that is $M_{n,+,1}(\mathbb{C}) = \{\rho \in M_n(\mathbb{C}) | \text{Tr}\rho = 1, \rho > 0\}$. If it is not otherwise specified, from now on we shall treat the case of faithful states, that is $\rho > 0$.

A function $f : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said operator monotone if, for any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and $A, B \in M_n$ such that $0 \leq A \leq B$, the inequalities $0 \leq f(A) \leq f(B)$ hold. An operator monotone function is said symmetric if $f(x) = xf(x^{-1})$ and normalized if $f(1) = 1$.

Definition 2.1 \mathcal{F}_{op} is the class of functions $f : (0, +\infty) \rightarrow (0, +\infty)$ such that

- (1) $f(1) = 1$,
- (2) $tf(t^{-1}) = f(t)$,
- (3) f is operator monotone.

Example 2.1 Examples of elements of \mathcal{F}_{op} are given by the following list

$$f_{RLD}(x) = \frac{2x}{x+1}, \quad f_{WY}(x) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}+1}{2} \right)^2, \quad f_{BKM}(x) = \frac{x-1}{\log x},$$

$$f_{SLD}(x) = \frac{x+1}{2}, \quad f_{WYD}(x) = \alpha(1-\alpha) \frac{(x-1)^2}{(x^\alpha-1)(x^{1-\alpha}-1)}, \quad \alpha \in (0, 1).$$

Remark 2.1 Any $f \in \mathcal{F}_{op}$ satisfies

$$\frac{2x}{x+1} \leq f(x) \leq \frac{x+1}{2}, \quad x > 0.$$

For $f \in \mathcal{F}_{op}$ define $f(0) = \lim_{x \rightarrow 0} f(x)$. We introduce the sets of regular and non-regular functions

$$\mathcal{F}_{op}^r = \{f \in \mathcal{F}_{op} | f(0) \neq 0\}, \quad \mathcal{F}_{op}^n = \{f \in \mathcal{F}_{op} | f(0) = 0\}$$

and notice that trivially $\mathcal{F}_{op} = \mathcal{F}_{op}^r \cup \mathcal{F}_{op}^n$.

Definition 2.2 Let $g, f \in \mathcal{F}_{op}^r$ satisfy

$$g(x) \geq k \frac{(x-1)^2}{f(x)} \quad (2.1)$$

for some $k > 0$. We define

$$\Delta_g^f(x) = g(x) - k \frac{(x-1)^2}{f(x)} \in \mathcal{F}_{op}$$

3 Generalized Quasi-Metric Adjusted Skew Information and Correlation Measure

In Kubo-Ando theory of matrix means one associates a mean to each operator monotone function $f \in \mathcal{F}_{op}$ by the formula

$$m_f(A, B) = A^{1/2} f(A^{-1/2} B A^{-1/2}) A^{1/2},$$

where $A, B \in M_{n,+}(\mathbb{C})$. Using the notion of matrix means one may define the class of monotone metrics (also said quantum Fisher informations) by the following formula

$$\langle A, B \rangle_{\rho, f} = \text{Tr}(A^* \cdot m_f(L_\rho, R_\rho)^{-1}(B)),$$

where $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, $L_\rho(A) = \rho A$, $R_\rho(A) = A \rho$.

Now we define generalized quasi-metric adjusted skew information and correlation measure for non-hermitian matrices $M_n(\mathbb{C})$.

Definition 3.1 For $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\rho \in M_{n,+1}(\mathbb{C})$, we define the following quantities:

$$|Corr_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A, B) = k \langle i[\rho, A], i[\rho, B] \rangle_{\rho, f}, \quad |I_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A) = |Corr_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A, A),$$

$$|C_\rho^f|(A, B) = \text{Tr}[A^* m_f(L_\rho, R_\rho) B], \quad |C_\rho^f|(A) = |C_\rho^f|(A, A),$$

$$|U_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A) = \sqrt{(|C_\rho^g|(A) + |C_\rho^{\Delta_g^f}|(A))(|C_\rho^g|(A) - |C_\rho^{\Delta_g^f}|(A))},$$

The quantity $|I_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A)$ and $|Corr_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A, B)$ are said generalized quasi-metric adjusted skew information and generalized quasi-metric adjusted correlation measure, respectively.

Then we have the following proposition.

Proposition 3.1 For $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\rho \in M_{n,+1}(\mathbb{C})$, we have the following relations, where we put $A_0 = A - \text{Tr}[\rho A]I$ and $B_0 = B - \text{Tr}[\rho B]I$.

- (1) $|I_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A) = |I_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A_0) = |C_\rho^g|(A_0) - |C_\rho^{\Delta_g^f}|(A_0),$
- (2) $|J_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A) = |C_\rho^g|(A_0) + |C_\rho^{\Delta_g^f}|(A_0),$
- (3) $|U_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A) = \sqrt{|I_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A) \cdot |J_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A)}.$
- (4) $|Corr_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A, B) = |Corr_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A_0, B_0).$

Theorem 3.1 For $f \in \mathcal{F}_{op}^r$, it holds

$$|I_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A) \cdot |I_\rho^{(g,f)}|(B) \geq ||Corr_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A, B)|^2,$$

where $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\rho \in M_{n,+1}(\mathbb{C})$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. We define for $X, Y \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$

$$|Corr_\rho^{(g,f)}|(X, Y) = k \langle i[\rho, X], i[\rho, Y] \rangle_{\rho, f}.$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} |Corr_\rho^{(g,f)}|(X, Y) &= k \text{Tr}((i[\rho, X])^* m_f(L_\rho, R_\rho)^{-1} i[\rho, Y]) \\ &= k \text{Tr}((i(L_\rho - R_\rho)X)^* m_f(L_\rho, R_\rho)^{-1} i(L_\rho - R_\rho)Y) \\ &= \text{Tr}(X^* m_g(L_\rho, R_\rho)Y) - \text{Tr}(X^* m_{\Delta_g^f}(L_\rho, R_\rho)Y), \end{aligned}$$

it is easy to show that $|Corr_\rho^{(g,f)}|(X, Y)$ is an inner product in $M_n(\mathbb{C})$. Then we can get the result by using Schwarz inequality. \square

Theorem 3.2 For $f \in \mathcal{F}_{op}^r$, if

$$g(x) + \Delta_g^f(x) \geq \ell f(x) \tag{3.1}$$

for some $\ell > 0$, then it holds

$$|U_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A) \cdot |U_\rho^{(g,f)}|(B) \geq k\ell |\text{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2, \tag{3.2}$$

where $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\rho \in M_{n,+1}(\mathbb{C})$.

In order to prove Theorem 3.2, we need the following lemmas

Lemma 3.1 If (2.1) and (3.1) are satisfied, then we have the following inequality:

$$m_g(x, y)^2 - m_{\Delta_g^f}(x, y)^2 \geq k\ell(x - y)^2.$$

Proof of Lemma 3.1: By (2.1) and (3.1), we have

$$m_{\Delta_g^f}(x, y) = m_g(x, y) - k \frac{(x - y)^2}{m_f(x, y)}. \quad (3.3)$$

$$m_g(x, y) + m_{\Delta_g^f}(x, y) \geq \ell m_f(x, y), \quad (3.4)$$

Therefore by (3.3), (3.4)

$$\begin{aligned} & m_g(x, y)^2 - m_{\Delta_g^f}(x, y)^2 \\ &= \left\{ m_g(x, y) - m_{\Delta_g^f}(x, y) \right\} \left\{ m_g(x, y) + m_{\Delta_g^f}(x, y) \right\} \\ &\geq k \frac{(x - y)^2}{m_f(x, y)} \ell m_f(x, y) \\ &= k \ell (x - y)^2. \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 3.2 Let $\{|\phi_1\rangle, |\phi_2\rangle, \dots, |\phi_n\rangle\}$ be a basis of eigenvectors of ρ , corresponding to the eigenvalues $\{\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n\}$. We put $a_{jk} = \langle \phi_j | A_0 | \phi_k \rangle$, $b_{jk} = \langle \phi_j | B_0 | \phi_k \rangle$, where $A_0 \equiv A - \text{Tr}[\rho A]I$ and $B_0 \equiv B - \text{Tr}[\rho B]I$ for $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$ and $\rho \in M_{n,+1}(\mathbb{C})$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} |I_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A) &= \sum_{j,k} m_g(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) |a_{jk}|^2 - \sum_{j,k} m_{\Delta_g^f}(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) |a_{jk}|^2 \\ &= 2 \sum_{j < k} \left\{ (m_g(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) - m_{\Delta_g^f}(\lambda_j, \lambda_k)) \right\} |a_{jk}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} |J_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A) &= \sum_{j,k} m_g(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) |a_{jk}|^2 + \sum_{j,k} m_{\Delta_g^f}(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) |a_{jk}|^2 \\ &\geq 2 \sum_{j < k} \left\{ m_g(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) + m_{\Delta_g^f}(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) \right\} |a_{jk}|^2, \end{aligned}$$

$$|U_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A)^2 = \left(\sum_{j,k} m_g(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) |a_{jk}|^2 \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{j,k} m_{\Delta_g^f}(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) |a_{jk}|^2 \right)^2$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & |Corr_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A, B) \\ &= \sum_{j,k} m_g(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) \overline{a_{jk}} b_{jk} - \sum_{j,k} m_{\Delta_g^f}(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) \overline{a_{jk}} b_{jk} \\ &= \sum_{j < k} \left(m_g(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) - m_{\Delta_g^f}(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) \right) \overline{a_{jk}} b_{jk} + \sum_{j < k} \left(m_g(\lambda_k, \lambda_j) - m_{\Delta_g^f}(\lambda_k, \lambda_j) \right) \overline{a_{kj}} b_{kj}. \end{aligned}$$

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.2: At first we prove (3.3). Since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}(\rho[A, B]) &= \sum_{j,k} (\lambda_j - \lambda_k) a_{jk} b_{kj}, \\ |\text{Tr}(\rho[A, B])| &\leq \sum_{j,k} |\lambda_j - \lambda_k| |a_{jk}| |b_{kj}|. \end{aligned}$$

Then by Lemma 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & k\ell |\text{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2 \\ & \leq \left\{ \sum_{j,k} \sqrt{k\ell} |\lambda_j - \lambda_k| |a_{jk}| |b_{kj}| \right\}^2 \\ & \leq \left\{ \sum_{j,k} \left(m_g(\lambda_j, \lambda_k)^2 - m_{\Delta_g^f}(\lambda_j, \lambda_k)^2 \right)^{1/2} |a_{jk}| |b_{kj}| \right\}^2 \\ & \leq \left\{ \sum_{j,k} \left(m_g(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) - m_{\Delta_g^f}(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) \right) |a_{jk}|^2 \right\} \left\{ \sum_{j,k} \left(m_g(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) + m_{\Delta_g^f}(\lambda_j, \lambda_k) \right) |b_{kj}|^2 \right\} \\ & = |I_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A) |J_\rho^{(g,f)}|(B). \end{aligned}$$

By the similar way, we also have

$$|I_\rho^{(g,f)}|(B) |J_\rho^{(g,f)}|(A) \geq k\ell |\text{Tr}(\rho[A, B])|^2.$$

Hence we have the desired inequality (3.2). \square

4 Examples

Example 4.1 When

$$g(x) = \frac{x+1}{2}, \quad f(x) = \alpha(1-\alpha) \frac{(x-1)^2}{(x^\alpha-1)(x^{1-\alpha}-1)}, \quad k = \frac{f(0)}{2}, \quad \ell = 2,$$

and $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, we give the following:

$$\Delta_g^f(x) = g(x) - k \frac{(x-1)^2}{f(x)} = \frac{1}{2}(x^\alpha + x^{1-\alpha}) \geq 0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & g(x) + \Delta_g^f(x) - \ell f(x) \\ & = \frac{1}{2(x^\alpha-1)(x^{1-\alpha}-1)} \{ (x^{2\alpha}-1)(x^{2(1-\alpha)}-1) - 4\alpha(1-\alpha)(x-1)^2 \} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |I_\rho^{(f,g)}|(A) &= |I_\rho^{(f,g)}|(A_0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}Tr[\rho A_0 A_0^*] + \frac{1}{2}Tr[\rho A_0^* A_0] - \frac{1}{2}Tr[\rho^\alpha A_0 \rho^{1-\alpha} A_0^*] - \frac{1}{2}Tr[\rho^\alpha A_0^* \rho^{1-\alpha} A_0]. \end{aligned}$$

In particular for $\alpha = 1/2$,

$$|I_\rho^{(f,g)}|(A) = |I_\rho^{(f,g)}|(A_0) = \frac{1}{2}Tr[\rho A_0 A_0^*] + \frac{1}{2}Tr[\rho A_0^* A_0] - Tr[\rho^{1/2} A_0 \rho^{1/2} A_0^*].$$

Then the corresponding variance is given by

$$|V_\rho|(A) = \frac{1}{2}Tr[\rho(|A_0|^2 + |A_0^*|^2)].$$

Example 4.2 When

$$g(x) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{x} + 1}{2} \right)^2, \quad f(x) = \alpha(1 - \alpha) \frac{(x - 1)^2}{(x^\alpha - 1)(x^{1-\alpha} - 1)}.$$

and $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, we assume $k = f(0)/8$ and $\ell = 3/2$, then we have the following.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_g^f(x) &= g(x) - k \frac{(x - 1)^2}{f(x)} = \left(\frac{1 + \sqrt{x}}{2} \right)^2 - \frac{1}{8}(x^\alpha - 1)(x^{1-\alpha} - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}\{(1 + \sqrt{x})^2 + (x^{\alpha/2} + x^{(1-\alpha)/2})^2\} \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &g(x) + \Delta_g^f(x) - \ell f(x) \\ &= 2g(x) - \frac{1}{8}(x^\alpha - 1)(x^{1-\alpha} - 1) - \frac{3}{2}f(x) \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2}g(x) - \frac{1}{8}(x^\alpha - 1)(x^{1-\alpha} - 1) \\ &= \frac{1}{8}(x^{\alpha/2} + x^{(1-\alpha)/2})^2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Example 4.3 When

$$g(x) = \left(\frac{x^\gamma + 1}{2} \right)^{1/\gamma} \quad \left(\frac{3}{4} \leq \gamma \leq 1 \right), \quad f(x) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{x} + 1}{2} \right)^2,$$

$$k = \frac{f(0)}{4}, \quad \ell = 2,$$

and $A, B \in M_n(\mathbb{C})$, we give the following: Let

$$F(x, r) = \left(\frac{1+x^r}{2} \right)^{1/r}.$$

Since $F(x, r)$ is concave in $r \in [1/2, 1]$ (see [15]),

$$F(t, \frac{3}{4}) \geq \frac{1}{2}F(t, 1) + \frac{1}{2}F(t, \frac{1}{2}).$$

Then

$$2F(x, r) \geq 2F(x, \frac{3}{4}) \geq F(x, 1) + F(x, \frac{1}{2}),$$

That is

$$2 \left(\frac{1+x^r}{2} \right)^{1/r} - \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}-1}{2} \right)^2 > 2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}+1}{2} \right)^2.$$

Then since

$$\Delta_g^f(x) = \left(\frac{1+x^r}{2} \right)^{1/r} - \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}-1}{2} \right)^2,$$

we have

$$g(x) + \Delta_g^f(x) \geq 2f(x).$$

Example 4.4 When

$$g(x) = \left(\frac{1+x^r}{2} \right)^{1/r}, \quad (\frac{5}{8} \leq r \leq 1)$$

$$f(x) = \left(\frac{1+\sqrt{x}}{2} \right)^2, \quad k = \frac{f(0)}{8} = \frac{1}{32}, \quad \ell = 2.$$

we give the following. Since $F(x, r)$ is concave in $r \in [1/2, 3/4]$ (see [15]),

$$F(x, \frac{5}{8}) \geq \frac{1}{2}F(x, \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{2}F(x, \frac{3}{4}).$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} 2F(x, r) &\geq 2F(x, \frac{5}{8}) \geq F(x, \frac{1}{2}) + F(x, \frac{3}{4}) \\ &\geq F(x, \frac{1}{2}) + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{x+1}{2} + \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}+1}{2} \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}+1}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{x+1}{2} \\ &= \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}+1}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}-1}{2} \right)^2 + \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}+1}{2} \right)^2 \right\} \\ &= 2 \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}+1}{2} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{x}-1}{2} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$g(x) + \Delta_g^f(x) \geq 2f(x).$$

References

- [1] Furuichi, S., Yanagi, K., Schrödinger uncertainty relation, Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information and metric adjusted correlation measure, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 388, 1147-1156(2012)
- [2] Gibilisco, P., Imparato, D., Isola, T., Uncertainty principle and quantum Fisher information, II, *J. Math. Phys.*, 48, 072109 (2007)
- [3] Gibilisco, P., Hansen, F., Isola, T., On a correspondence between regular and non-regular operator monotone functions, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 430, 2225-2232 (2009)
- [4] Gibilisco, P., Hiai, F., Petz, D., Quantum covariance, quantum Fisher information, and the uncertainty relations, *IEEE Trans. Information Theory*, 55, 439-443(2009)
- [5] Gibilisco, P., Isola, T., On a refinement of Heisenberg uncertainty relation by means of quantum Fisher information, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 375, 270-275 (2011)
- [6] Hansen, F., Metric adjusted skew information, *Proc. Nat Acad. Sci.*, 105, 9909-9916 (2008)
- [7] Kubo, F., Ando, T., Means of positive linear operators, *Math. Ann.*, 246, 205-224 (1980)
- [8] Luo, S., Heisenberg uncertainty relation for mixed states, *Phys. Rev.*, A 72, 042110 (2005)
- [9] Petz, D., Monotone metrics on matrix spaces, *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 244, 81-96 (1996)
- [10] Petz, D., Hasegawa, H., On the Riemannian metric of α -entropies of density matrices, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 38, 221-225 (1996)
- [11] Wigner, E. P., Yanase, M. M., Information content of distribution, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.*, 49, 910-918 (1963)
- [12] Yanagi, K., Uncertainty relation on Wigner-Yanase-Dyson skew information, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 365, 12-18 (2010)

- [13] Yanagi, K., Metric adjusted skew information and uncertainty relation, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 380, 888-892(2011).
- [14] Yanagi, K., Generalized metric adjusted skew information and uncertainty relation, Proceedings of the International Symposium on Banach and Function Spaces IV, 425-432(2013).
- [15] Yanagi, K., Furuichi, S., Kuriyama, K., Uncertainty relations for generalized metric adjusted skew information and generalized metric adjusted correlation measure, J. Uncertainty Analysis and Applications, 1, 1-12(2013).

Division of Applied Mathematical Science
Graduate School of Science and Engineering
Yamaguchi University
Ube 755-8611
Japan
E-mail address:yanagi@yamaguchi-u.ac.jp

山口大学大学院理工学研究科 柳 研二郎